

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.tepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/588,453	09/26/2006	Kouichi Kitahata	1422-0722PUS1	5708	
	7590 04/06/201 ART KOLASCH & BI	EXAM	EXAMINER		
PO BOX 747			ZIMMER, ANTHONY J		
FALLS CHUR	CH, VA 22040-0747		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1736		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			04/06/2011	ELECTRONIC	

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail  $\,$  address(es):

mailroom@bskb.com

## **Advisory Action** Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

1	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/588,453	KITAHATA ET AL.		
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	ANTHONY J. ZIMMER	1736		

	ANTHONY J. ZIMMER	1/36					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 22 March 2011 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:</li> </ol>	the same day as filing a Notice of A replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the				
The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection						
<ul> <li>The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to</li> </ul>	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth i ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	date of the final rejection	n.				
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or ( MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(		FIRST REPLY WAS FI	LED WITHIN TW				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.138(a). The data have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s est forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount on thortened statutory period for reply original than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as				
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the					
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>							
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection, to the proposed amendment (s) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below).</li> </ol>	nsideration and/or search (see NOT		cause				
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or		ducing or simplifying th	ne issues for				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.					
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1							
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (	PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):							
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	owabie if submitted in a separate, t	imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the				
<ol> <li>For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided to the proposed amendment of the proposed amendment (s): a)</li> </ol>		be entered and an e	xplanation of				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected:							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	the face and a the date of filling a bloom		ter continued				
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>							
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome all rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a				
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</li> </ol>	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.				
The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u>	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:				
12.  Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)						
13. A Other: The Final rejection is maintained for reasons of re	cord.						
/Stanley Silverman/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1736							

Continuation of 3, NOTE: Changes to claim 8 raise new issues that would require further consideration and search...

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The claims are not being entered. Further even if the claims were altered, the claims would still not be patentable because the rocording sheet in JP086 can be considered food wrapping material, a filtration aid (as paper is used for filtering), a sanitary article (a very broad term encompassing such a recording sheet), a covering material for wounds, an insulating substrate, and other materials. Even further, the claim limitations are desired uses for the material which do not impart clear structural limitations to the material expert in that the material in the prior must be capable of the desired use. In the instant case, it is maintained that the recording sheet would be capable of the desired uses since it has the same structure claimed.